

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

RICHARD DENNIS and PORT 22, LLC, on
behalf of themselves and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No.: 1:20-cv-04090

THE ANDERSONS, INC., CARGILL, INC., and
JOHN/JANE DOES NOS. 1-50

Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF ELAINE PANG OF A.B. DATA, LTD. IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO APPROVE NOTICE PLAN**

I, Elaine Pang, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the Vice President of Media with A.B. Data, Ltd.'s Class Action Administration Company ("A.B. Data"). A.B. Data was approved by the Court to act as Notice Administrator and implemented its portion of the plan to provide notice to the certified class ("certification notice plan"). I submit this declaration at the request of Class Counsel in connection with the above-captioned action (the "Action").

2. This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge of and upon information provided by Class Counsel, my associates, and A.B. Data staff members. My curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit A**.

3. A.B. Data has been appointed as notice, claims, and/or settlement administrator in hundreds of class actions and designed, implemented, and coordinated some of the largest and

most complex class action notice and administration plans in the country. Our work includes notification, claims processing, and distribution plans in all types of class actions, including consumer, antitrust, securities, ERISA, insurance, and government agency cases. In addition, our team has administered cases such as *Budicak v. Lansing Trade Group*, No. 19-cv-02449 (D. Kan.); *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank*, No. 12-cv-3419 (S.D.N.Y.); *Sonterra Capital Master Fund v. UBS AG*, No. 15-cv-5844 (S.D.N.Y.); *Sullivan v. Barclays plc*, No. 13-cv-2811 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re JPMorgan Precious Metals Spoofing Litigation*, No. 1:18-cv-10356 (S.D.N.Y.); *Boutchard v. Gandhi*, No. 18-cv-7041 (N.D. Ill.); *In re Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation*, No. 10-cv-3617 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, No.14-md-02573-VEC (S.D.N.Y.), No. 14-mc-02573 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation* (Exchange-Based Plaintiff Action), No. 11-md-2262 (S.D.N.Y.); and *In re Crude Oil Commodity Futures Litigation*, No. 11-cv-3600 (S.D.N.Y.). A profile of A.B. Data's background and capabilities, including representative case and client lists, is included as **Exhibit B**.

4. This declaration describes the proposed notice plan ("Notice Plan") for the Settlement in this Action and how it will meet Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Rule 23") and due process requirements. The information, methods, and tools used to develop the notice plan are of a type reasonably relied upon in the fields of media, advertising, and communications.

Notice Program Overview

5. The objective of the Notice Plan is to provide the best practicable notice, under the circumstances, to Class Members who are generally defined as:

All persons or entities who purchased:

- a. A long position in Chicago Board of Trade ("CBOT") soft red winter ("SRW") wheat December 2017 or March 2018 futures contracts;

b. A long position in CBOT call options on CBOT soft red winter wheat March 2018 futures contracts; or

c. A short position in CBOT put options on CBOT soft red winter wheat March 2018 futures contracts—and subsequently liquidated the position through an offsetting market transaction at any point during the period of November 30 through December 14, 2017, inclusive (the “Class Period”), except that sales of CBOT March 2018 futures contracts made after December 14, 2017, qualify for inclusion in the Class only to the extent they were made in liquidation of a long position in the CBOT March 2018 contract which was initiated prior to December 14, 2017.

6. The Notice Plan includes direct notice and paid (digital) media. A dedicated case-specific website and toll-free telephone line will complement the Notice Plan and serve as additional resources. More information about these components is below.

Direct Notice

7. The proposed Notice Program includes direct notice via First-Class Mail to potential Class Members.

8. Pursuant to the certification notice plan, Counsel provided A.B. Data with a list of names and/or mailing addresses for potential Class Members comprised of “large traders” of CBOT SRW Wheat futures and options during the Class Period. This list now contains 222 unique mailing addresses and to which direct notice was previously sent by A.B. Data and delivered during the certification notice plan.

9. A.B. Data will send the Short-Form Notice, formatted as a postcard (“Postcard Notice”), via First-Class Mail to potential Class Members for whom a mailing address is available. This list includes “large traders” in the relevant contracts identified by the CME pursuant to a third-party subpoena.

10. Prior to mailing, A.B. Data will standardize and update all mailing addresses through the United States Postal Service (“USPS”) national change of address (“NCOA”)

database. For potential Class Members with a registered change of address, A.B. Data will mail a Postcard Notice to the updated mailing address provided by the USPS.

11. For any Postcard Notice returned to A.B. Data by the USPS as undeliverable as addressed (“UAA”) with a forwarding address provided, A.B. Data will promptly remail the Postcard Notice to the forwarding address. For any UAA Postcard Notice returned with no forwarding address provided, A.B. Data will search for an updated address using an information provider to which it subscribes. If an updated address is available, A.B. Data will promptly remail the Postcard Notice to the updated address.

12. A.B. Data will mail the Postcard Notice to CME clearing firm members who cleared CBOT SRW Wheat futures contracts during the Class Period. Clearing firm members will be asked to mail or e-mail the Notice to their customers who transacted in December 2017 or March 2018 CBOT SRW Wheat futures or options contracts during the Class Period. A.B. Data will mail the Postcard Notice to any customer names and addresses provided by clearing firm members.

13. A.B. Data will mail the Postcard Notice to each of the futures commission merchants (“FCMs”) registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”) as of December 31, 2017. FCMs will be asked to mail or e-mail the Notice to their customers who transacted in December 2017 or March 2018 CBOT SRW Wheat futures or options contracts during the Class Period. A.B. Data will mail the Postcard Notice to any customer names and addresses provided by FCMs.

14. A.B. Data will mail the Postcard Notice to each of the “regular for delivery” grain elevators certified by the CBOT during the Class Period.

Paid Media

15. To effectively reach this Class, which is mainly financial professionals, A.B. Data recommends a business-focused paid media plan that includes digital media. Paid media advertising is guaranteed to appear and allows control over the content, timing, and positioning of the message.

Digital Media

16. Digital media is included in the Notice Plan to reach potential Class Members. All digital advertisements will be placed in premium positions, so they are easily seen when viewers first open the website page, email, or e-newsletter. The banner ads will include images appropriate for this case, and an embedded link to the case-specific website to increase the ad visibility and click-through rate. A sample banner ad is included within **Exhibit C**.

17. Banner ads will appear for 30 days on the premium trade publication-related website, traders.com. *Stocks & Commodities* magazine runs the website, traders.com, as the digital media component of their print publication.

18. Banner ads will also appear on an email blast and e-newsletters to *Stocks & Commodities* newsletter subscribers.

Website

19. A.B. Data will update and continue to maintain the dedicated case-specific website to ensure Class Members can easily access information about their rights and the Settlement. It will provide, among other things, a case summary, information about Class Member rights and options, relevant documents, important dates, and any pertinent updates concerning this Action. The case-specific website will be secured with an “https” designation.

20. A.B. Data also maintains an official email account that Class Members can utilize to ask questions.

Toll-Free Telephone Number

21. A.B. Data will update and continue to maintain a case-specific toll-free telephone number to address potential Class Member inquiries. The toll-free telephone number is equipped with an automated interactive voice response system that presents callers with a series of choices to hear prerecorded information. If callers need further help, they have the option to speak with a live operator during business hours. After business hours, callers may leave a voicemail message, and their call will be returned.

Notice Design

22. The Short-Form and Long-Form Notices include all required information about Class Members' rights and options and meet Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure notice requirements. The detailed Long-Form Notice will be available on the case-specific website. The Short-Form Notice will be used for direct notice. The Notices will include the toll-free telephone number and case website address for potential Class Members to request more information.

23. The banner ads are designed to draw attention and encourage recipients to click on them to directly access the case-specific website.

24. Consistent with our normal practice, all Notices and advertisements will undergo a final edit for grammatical errors and accuracy prior to actual mailing and publication.

Exclusion Processing

25. The deadline for Settlement Class Members to request exclusion was February 3, 2026. As of May 27, 2026, A.B. Data has received 3 exclusion requests. The list of entities who requested exclusion is attached as **Exhibit D**.

Conclusion

26. It is my opinion that the proposed Notice Plan is the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who can be identified through reasonable effort. This proposed Notice Plan conforms to the standards employed by A.B. Data in similar notification plans designed to reach similarly situated groups or classes. The proposed Notice Plan, as designed, is fully compliant with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process requirements.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 27th day of May 2026.



Elaine Pang

EXHIBIT A



Elaine Pang is Vice President of Media with A.B. Data's Class Action Administration division and has over eighteen years of expertise in development of legal notice plans. She has directed a wide range of notice programs involving media planning, media buying, research, creative design process, and data analysis, resulting in the implementation of effective and efficient programs to reach potential class members. Ms. Pang has been involved in some of the largest and most complex legal notification plans in both domestic and international regions. Her programs satisfy due process requirements, as well as all applicable state and federal laws, and they adhere to the guidelines set forth in the *Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth Edition* and by the Federal Judicial Center (FJC), as well as applicable state laws.

Ms. Pang is also a certified professional in IAB Digital Media Buying & Planning, IAB Media Sales, Hootsuite Social Marketing, Google Digital Sales, Google Analytics, Google AdWords, and Market Motive Internet Marketing Fundamentals. She also served as an IAB exam committee member, a group of 12-14 industry experts who work to ensure that certification exams stay current with the industry's changing ecosystem by developing exam questions, reviewing exam content, and advising on the passing score of the exam. She holds a Bachelor of Science in marketing from The Pennsylvania State University and earned her MBA from Strayer University.

Prior to her professional experience in the class action notice and claims administration industry, Elaine established a strong portfolio working with many top brands including Marriott, General Mills, Air Wick, Jet-Dry, Comedy Central, Madison Square Garden, Radio City Music Hall, and Geox.

CASE EXPERIENCE

Ms. Pang has been instrumental in her involvement with developing, implementing, and analyzing media measurements across domestic and international multichannel platforms for notice programs. A partial listing of her case work is provided below.

CASE NAME	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION
<i>Ray v. NCAA</i>	1:23-cv-00425	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
<i>National ATM Council, Inc., et al. v. Visa, Inc., et al.</i>	1:11-cv-01803-RJL	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC, et al.</i>	3:21-cv-05227-JD	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Hubbard v. Google</i>	5:19-cv-07016	United States District Court for the Northern District of California



<i>Dennis, et al. v. The Andersons, Inc., et al.</i>	1:20-cv-04090	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>Othart Dairy Farms, LLC v. Dairy Farmers of America, Inc., et al.</i>	22-cv-00251- SMD-DLM	United States District Court for the District of New Mexico
<i>DZ Reserve, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.</i>	3:18-cv-04978	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation</i>	2:16-MD-02724	United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Jien v. Perdue Farms</i>	1:19-cv-002521	United States District Court for the District of Maryland
<i>Frasco v. Flo Health Inc.</i>	3:21-cv-00757	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Ruffin v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</i>	23-CV-01660-BO-RN	United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina Southern Division
<i>Borozny, et al. v. RTX Corp., Pratt & Whitney Division, et al.</i>	3:21-cv-1657-SVN	U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut
<i>Leventhal v. Bayside Cemetery and Congregation Shaare Zedek</i>	Index No. 100530/11	Supreme Court of the State of New York
<i>Oliver v. Am. Express Co.</i>	19-CV-566	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
<i>In re Turkey Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:19-cv-08318	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>In re California Bail Bond Antitrust Litigation</i>	4:19-CV-00717-JST	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Russo, et al. v. Walgreen Co.</i>	1:17-cv-02246	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>In re Platinum and Palladium Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:14-cv-9391 (GHW)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation</i>	1:17-md-2804	United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
<i>Mackmin, et al. v. Visa Inc., et al.</i>	1:11-cv-01831 (RJL)	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>In re Effexor XR Antitrust Litigation</i>	3:11-cv-05479	United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>In re: McKinsey & Co., Inc. National Prescription Opiate Consultant Litigation</i>	3:21-md-02996-CRB (SK)	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Government Employees Health Association v. Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., et al.</i>	GLR-18-3560	United States District Court for the District of Maryland



<i>San Miguel Hospital Corp., d/b/a Alta Vista Regional Hospital v. Johnson & Johnson</i>	1:23-cv-00903	United States District Court for the District of New Mexico
<i>In re Google Assistant Privacy Litigation</i>	19-cv-04286-BLF	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>KPH Healthcare Services, Inc. v. Mylan N.V</i>	2:20-cv-02065- DDC-TJJ	U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas
<i>Nix v. The Chemours Co. FC, LLC</i>	7:17-cv-00189	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina Southern Division
<i>Fund Liquidation Holdings LLC. et al. v. UBS AG et al.</i>	15-cv-5844	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re: Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corp. Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:07-cv-04446	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>Sullen, et al. v. Vivint, Inc.</i>	01-CV-2023-903893	Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama, Birmingham Division
<i>Jeffrey Koenig, et al. v. VIZIO, Inc.</i>	BC 702266	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
<i>Bultemeyer v. CenturyLink Inc.</i>	2:14-cv-02530-SPL	United States District Court for the District of Arizona
<i>In re Cattle and Beef Antitrust Litigation, et al. (In re DPP Beef Litigation)</i>	20-cv-01319 JRT-HB	United States District Court for the District of Minnesota
<i>Sheet Metal Workers Local No. 20 Welfare and Benefit Fund, et al. v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et al.</i>	16-046 WES and 16-447 WES	United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island
<i>Daniel Berman, et al. v. Freedom Financial Network, LLC, et al.</i>	18-cv-01060-YGR	United States District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Hoffman v. City of Los Angeles</i>	BC672326	Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles
<i>In Re: Suboxone (Buprenorphine Hydrochloride and Naloxone) Antitrust Litigation</i>	2:13-md-02445-MSG	United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Stacy Dorcas v. Aterian, Inc.</i>	CIVSB2222117	Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Bernardino
<i>In re Term Commodities Cotton Futures Litigation</i>	12-cv-5126	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>Lincoln Adventures, LLC, et al. v. Those Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London Members of Syndicates, et al.</i>	2:08-cv-00235-CCC-JAD	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>Sonterra Capital Master Fund Ltd., et al. v. Barclays Bank PLC, et al.</i>	15-cv-3538	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re Xyrem (Sodium Oxybate) Antitrust Litigation</i>	20-md-02966-RS	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re Zetia (Ezetimibe) Antitrust Litigation</i>	2:18-md-2836	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Norfolk Division



<i>In re Bank of Nova Scotia Spoofing Litigation</i>	3:20-cv-11059 (MAS) (LHG)	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>Solomon vs. Sprint Corporation</i>	1:19-cv-05272-MKV	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re European Government Bonds Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:19-cv-02601	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:16-cv-08637	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>In re: Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation (End-User Consumer Action)</i>	1:16-cv-08637	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>In re: Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation – Commercial & Institutional Indirect Purchaser Plaintiff Actions</i>	1:16-cv-08637	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>Budicak, Inc. et al. v. Lansing Trade Group, LLC et al.</i>	2:19-cv-02449	U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas
<i>In re HIV Antitrust Litigation</i>	3:19-cv-02573-EMC	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re Namenda Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:15-cv-6549	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re Pork Antitrust Litigation</i>	No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB	U.S. District Court District of Minnesota
<i>In re Pork Antitrust Litigation (Indirect Purchaser Actions)</i>	No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB	U.S. District Court District of Minnesota
<i>Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.</i>	12-cv-3419	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re Opana ER Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:14-cv-10150	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>Christopher Julian, et al. v. TTE Technology, Inc.</i>	3:20-CV-02857-EMC	U.S. District Court Northern District of California
<i>In re Flint Water Cases</i>	5:16-cv-10444-JEL-EAS	NA
<i>Automobile Antitrust Cases I and II</i>	JCCP Nos. 4298 and 4303, Master File No. 19-md-02878	Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco
<i>Smith v. FirstEnergy Corp., et al.</i>	2:20-cv-3755	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio
<i>Fund Liquidation Holdings LLC. V. Citibank, N.A., et al.</i>	1:16-cv-5263 (AKH)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re: Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation</i>	2:16-MD-02724	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Sullivan, et al. v. Barclays plc, et al.</i>	13-cv-2811 (PKC)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>Dennis, et al. v JP Morgan Chase & Co., et al.</i>	16-cv-06496 (LAK)	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>Staley, et al. v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., et al.</i>	3:19-cv-02573-EMC	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In Re Ranbaxy Generic Drug Application Antitrust Litigation</i>	19-md-02878	U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts



<i>In re Capacitors Antitrust Lawsuit</i>	3:17-md-02801-JD	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In Re: Johnson & Johnson Sunscreen Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation</i>	3015	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida
<i>In re Citrus Canker Litigation</i>	03-8255 CA 13	Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County, Florida
<i>Hill-Green v. Experian Information Solutions, Inc.</i>	3:19-cv-00708	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
<i>Benson Githieya v. Global Tel Link Corp.</i>	1:15-CV-00986-AT	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia
<i>Bowen v. UPMC Settlement</i>	GD-21-002075	Allegheny Cty. Ct. Com. Pl.
<i>The Roman Catholic Diocese of Rockville Centre, New York</i>	20-12345	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>The Roman Catholic Church for the Archdiocese of New Orleans</i>	20-10846	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Louisiana
<i>In re Roundup Products Liability Litigation</i>	3:16-md-02741-VC	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC</i>	20-10343	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware
<i>Krueger v. Wyeth Inc, et al.</i>	3:03-cv-02496-JAH-MDD	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California
<i>Hamm v. Sharp Electronics Corporation</i>	5:19-cv-00488	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida
<i>In Re: PG&E Corporation</i>	19-30088	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re Chinese-Manufactured Drywall Products Liability Litigation</i>	2:09-md-02047	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana
<i>Colorado Attorney General CenturyLink Settlement</i>		
<i>The Hospital Authority of Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County v. Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i>	15-CV-01100	U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee
<i>Freeman v. Grain Processing Corporation</i>	13-0723	Supreme Court of Iowa
<i>Culler v. Multi-State Lottery Association</i>	LACL136787	Iowa District Court for Polk County
<i>In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instrument Antitrust Litigation</i>	11-MD-2262	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re Domestic Airline Travel Antitrust Litigation</i>	2656	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>MSPA Claims I, LLC v. IDS Property Casualty Insurance Company</i>	1:16-CV-21040	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida
<i>Speaks v. U.S. Tobacco Cooperative, Inc.</i>	5:12-CV-729-D	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina
<i>Jabbari v. Wells Fargo & Co.</i>	3:15-cv-02159	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California
<i>United Desert Charities, et al. v. Sloan Valve Company, et al.</i>	12-CV-06878	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
<i>In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" MDL</i>	15-MD-2672-CRB	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California



<i>In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation</i>	12-md-02311	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
<i>In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation</i>	MDL No. 1950, No. 08-02516	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in Gulf of Mexico</i>	MDL 2179	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana
<i>Muscarella v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania</i>	10 F.R. 2011	Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania
<i>Precision Associates Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport, et al.</i>	8-cv-00042	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
<i>Mirakay, et al. v. Dakota Growers Pasta Co. Inc., et al.</i>	3:13-cv-04429	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>Imran Chaudhri v. Osram Sylvania Inc.</i>	2:11-cv-05504	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>Trammell v. Barbara's Bakery Inc.</i>	12-cv-02664	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Independent Foreclosure Review</i>		Department of Justice
<i>In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation</i>	MDL No. 1827	U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division
<i>In re: Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Security Breach Litigation</i>	11-MD-2258	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California
<i>Koyle v. Level 3 Communications, Inc.</i>	01-0286-S-BLW	U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho
<i>In Re: Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation</i>	07-01891	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
<i>In re: DRAM Antitrust Litigation</i>	MDL No. 1486	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re: Transpacific Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust Litigation</i>	07-CV-5634-CRB, MDL 1913	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>In re M3Power Razor System Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation</i>	05-cv-11177	U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts
<i>In re: NYC Bus Tour Antitrust Litigation</i>	13-cv-0711	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>In re: Electronic Books Antitrust Litigation</i>	1:11-md-02293	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>Scharfstein v. BP West Coast Products LLC</i>	1112-17046	State of Oregon Circuit Court for Multnomah County
<i>Vioxx Products Liability Litigation</i>	MDL No. 1657	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana
<i>Glaberson v. Comcast Corp.</i>	2:03-cv-06604-JP	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Dolmage v. Province of Ontario</i>	CV-09-376927CP00	Ontario Superior Court of Justice
<i>Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.</i>	09-CV-10035 HB	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.
<i>Cipro Cases I and II</i>	No. 4154 and No. 4220	Superior Court of California
<i>In re National Football League Players' Concussion Injury Litigation</i>	MDL No. 2323	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania



<i>Hanley v. Fifth Third Bank</i>	1:2012cv01612	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>Roos v. Honeywell Int'l, Inc.</i>	04-0436205	Superior Court of California
<i>United Desert Charities, et al. v. Sloan Valve Company, et al.</i>	CV12-06878	U.S. District Court, Central District of California
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg, Sales Practs., & Prods. Litig.</i>	10-ml-2151	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
<i>Abbott v. Lennox Industries Inc.</i>	16-2011-CA-010656	4th Jud. Cir. Ct., Dade Cty. Fla.
<i>In re: Metoprolol Succinate End-Payor Antitrust Litigation</i>	06-cv-71	U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware
<i>In re Imprelis® Herbicide Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation</i>	MDL 2284	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Smajlaj, et al. v. Campbell Soup Company, et al.</i>	10-cv-01332-JBS-AMD	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>Kramer v. B2Mobile, LLC</i>	10-cv-02722	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
<i>Donovan v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.</i>	06-CA-12234	U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts
<i>In re National Arbitration Forum Trade Practices Litigation</i>	MDL No. 10-2122	U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
<i>In re: Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation</i>	No. 01-CV-12257-PBS, MDL No. 1456	U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts
<i>Nelson v. Mead Johnson & Company, LLC</i>	09-cv-61625-JIC	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida
<i>Lee v. Carter-Reed Company, L.L.C.</i>	UNN-L-3969-04	Superior Court of New Jersey, Union County
<i>FTC Iovate Settlement</i>		Federal Trade Commission
<i>FTC LeanSpa Settlement</i>		Federal Trade Commission
<i>FTC Walgreens Settlement</i>		Federal Trade Commission
<i>Glazer v. Whirlpool Corp.</i>	No. 1:08-WP-65000, MDL 2001	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
<i>Behrend v. Comcast Corp.</i>	03-6604	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
<i>Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Fremont Investment & Loan</i>	07-4373-BLS	Commonwealth of Massachusetts
<i>Dolmage v. Province of Ontario</i>	CV-09-376927	Ontario Superior Court of Justice
<i>In re Lawn Mower Engine Horsepower Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation</i>	MDL No. 1999, 2:08-md-01999	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin
<i>Craft, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc., et al.</i>	002-00406-02	Missouri Circuit Court, Twenty-Second Judicial Circuit
<i>In Re: Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation</i>	MDL No. 2086	U.S. District Court for the Western District of Missouri
<i>In re BP Propane Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation</i>	06-CV-3841	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois
<i>In re Vytarin/Zetia Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation</i>	08-285(DMC)	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>In re Bluetooth Headset Product Liability Litigation</i>	07-ML-1822, MDL 1822	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California



<i>The Authors Guild, Inc., et al. v. Google Inc.</i>	05 CV 8136-DC	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
<i>Larry Bowens, et al. v. 7-Eleven, Inc., The Southland Corporation, MDK Corporation, and ENSR Corporation</i>	20D03-0209-CT-48	Elkhart Superior Court No. 3
<i>Sadowski v. General Motors Corp.</i>	HG03093843	Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Alameda
<i>Cox, et al. v. Shell Oil Company</i>	18,844	Chancery Court for Obion County, at Union City, Tennessee
<i>Wilson v. Airborne, Inc., et al.</i>	EDC V07-770 VAP (OPx)	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
<i>Galanti v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company</i>	03-209	U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
<i>In re Toys “R” Us Antitrust Litigation</i>	CV-97-5750, MDL No. 1211	U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
<i>In re W.R. Grace & Co.</i>	01-01139	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware
<i>Cobell v. Salazar</i>	96-01285	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>Dryer v. National Football League</i>	9-02182	U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
<i>In re Black Farmers Discrimination Litig.</i>	08-511	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>Keepseagle v. Vilsack</i>	99-03119	U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
<i>In re Energy Future Holdings Corp.</i>	14-10979	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware
<i>In re Garlock Sealing Technologies LLC</i>	10-31607	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina
<i>In re SCBA Liquidation, Inc., f/k/a Second Chance Body Armor, Inc.</i>	04-12515	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Michigan
<i>In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practs. Litig.</i>	MDL No. 2222	U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida

ARTICLE

Shannon Wheatman & Elaine Pang, *Reality Check: The State of Media and Its Usage in Class Notice*, in *A Practitioner’s Guide to Class Actions*, 3rd Ed. 849 - 858 (Marcy Greer ed., 2021)

EXHIBIT B



Headquarters

600 A.B. Data Drive
Milwaukee, WI 53217
P: 866-217-4470
F: 414-961-3099

New York

One Battery Park Plaza
32nd Floor
New York, NY 10004
P: 646-290-9137

Washington DC

915 15th St., NW, Ste. 300
Washington, DC 20005
P: 202-618-2900
F: 202-462-2085

Florida

5080 PGA Boulevard, Ste. 209
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418
P: 561-336-1801
F: 561-252-7720

Israel

19 Weissburg Street
Tel Aviv 69358
Israel
P: +972 (3) 720-8782

London

71-75 Shelton Street
Covent Garden
London, WC2H 9JQ
P: +44 20 4586 1892



CAPABILITIES

About A.B. Data



Founded in 1981, **A.B. Data has earned a reputation** for expertly managing the complexities of class action administration in consumer, antitrust, securities, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) enforcement actions, and ERISA, Attorneys General, employment, civil rights, insurance, environmental, wage and hour, and other class action cases. **A.B. Data's work in all aspects of class action administration** has been perfected by decades of experience in hundreds of class action cases involving billions of dollars in total settlements. Dedicated professionals deliver **A.B. Data's all-inclusive services**, working in partnership with its clients to administer their class action cases effectively, efficiently, and affordably, regardless of size or scope.

A.B. Data offers unmatched resources and capacity and is capable of expertly administering any class action notice, settlement, and/or fund administration. Whether notifying millions of class members in the United States or throughout the world, processing millions of claims, distributing payments digitally via A.B. Data's Digital PayPortalSM, or printing and distributing millions of checks, **A.B. Data matches its talent and technology** to the specific needs of its clients, delivering unparalleled service on time and on budget without ever compromising quality.

Location, Ownership Structure



A.B. Data is an independently owned, more than 40-year-old, Milwaukee, Wisconsin-based company that prides itself on its vast expertise and industry-leading innovations. We like to remind our clients and partners that we're not just a class action administration company, but a group of experienced, dedicated professionals who believe that relationships are just as important as the accurate and timely management of class action administrations. A.B. Data Class Action is powered by exceptional talent, driven by innovative technology, and backed by proven experience to deliver trusted, efficient, and client-focused class action administration services.

Services



Every A.B. Data client is deserving of the best job we can put forward. A.B. Data makes class action administration easy for our clients with clarity, convenience, and efficiency. Our priority is to navigate the intricacies of our clients' matters and deliver successful results by using our solid expertise, advanced technology, and top-quality products and services. We pay attention to the details and get it right the first time.

We aim to provide our clients the full experience of a truly collaborative working relationship. A.B. Data – Powered by Talent. Driven by Technology. Proven by Experience.

Services

All Digital — From Notice to Distribution

A.B. Data is uniquely positioned to design, implement, and maintain notice and settlement administration programs using an innovative, "all-digital" approach that replaces the more traditional and less efficient methods of administration, such as newspaper ads, mailed notices, and paper checks. Many of our recent proposed notice plans and claim programs utilize the latest technologies such as microtargeted digital ads for notice, streamlined online claims, and distributing settlement funds electronically using a digital paywall. These methods provide significant cost savings, are consistent with the amendments to Rule 23 that are now in effect, and importantly provide much-needed alignment of class action notice and administration with current consumer behaviors.

Pre-Settlement Consultation

The pre-settlement consultation is a collaborative session designed to help A.B. Data clients prepare a stronger case. Our support teams simplify the task of sorting through a maze of documents during investigation and discovery, streamlining the process and preserving fund assets. From there, we assist with fully interactive media packages for court presentations and settlement negotiations. A.B. Data works closely with our clients, offering expert testimony on documents, processing, class and notice manageability, and proposed plans of allocation.

Media Services

A.B. Data continues to earn our reputation as the early innovator in integrating advanced micro-targeting techniques, including contextual targeting, behavioral targeting, and predictive modeling. Coupled with inventive digital media strategies to drive claims, case-specific banner ad development, class member research, and comScore analysis services, our multi-tiered media programs are designed to cost-effectively deliver notice to potential class members and increase claims rates.

Notice Administration

In A.B. Data, clients have a comprehensive resource with a depth of experience in direct notice. Our compliance and understanding of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are crucial in meeting the "plain language" legal requirements for any campaign. From our sophisticated digital media capabilities and extensive global experience with class member research, our experts create notice documents that are easily understandable and cost-efficient to produce. We consult with our clients to deliver notice documents from multi-page, mailed, or emailed notice packets to concise postcards that establish the most influential and cost-effective means of communicating with potential claimants.

Claims Processing

A.B. Data continues to bring game-changing technologies to improve the speed and precision in claims processing. Our robust system for online claims submissions allows us to meticulously verify data and documentation, preserve and authenticate claims, and calculate and verify settlement amounts. In addition, our data network infrastructure includes on-site data storage, backup, contingency plans, and security for electronic and hard copy claim filings. It is all part of a total commitment to be the most innovative and comprehensive resource in the industry. At A.B. Data, we take pride in having the in-house capacity to process millions of pages, as well as the organizational integrity to treat every claim as if it were the only one.

Contact Center

A.B. Data's Contact Center is comprised of a full staff that is trained on and equipped with online and telecommunication systems to monitor and connect with class members. Associates routinely monitor class member communication for all class action administrations, including antitrust, consumer, and securities.

Utilizing monitoring software, associates watch multiple social media channels simultaneously, allowing for instantaneous routing of inquiries and interaction with claimants. Detailed and concise analytical reports outlining Contact Center activities are always provided.

Our Contact Center and case websites are capable of handling millions of class member engagements, as recently displayed in a campaign which garnered over 1.2 million website visits in two months and had more than 72,500 Facebook engagements. Facebook comments and threads are monitored and claimants are guided to the website for more information. Google AdWords and display advertising have also brought hundreds of thousands of visitors to various case websites.

A.B. Data's Contact Center also has Spanish language associates in-house and we can accommodate any language, given proper lead time. Traditional call center facilities are also available, if needed.

Case Websites

We offer a state-of-the-art technology platform that supports every step of our class action administration process. Our expert marketing professionals design customized case-specific websites that provide potential class members easy access to case information, critical documents, important deadlines, as well as the capability to file claim forms and register for future mailings about the case. Claimants can use the website to elect to receive their settlement payments by mail or by one of several digital payment options, all accessible by mobile devices.

Settlement Fund Distribution

From complete escrow services to establishment of qualified settlement funds, check printing and mailing, electronic cash or stock distribution and tax services, A.B. Data has always provided a full-service solution to Settlement Fund Distribution. Our IT team has decades of experience in developing and implementing fast, secure databases and claims administration systems that ensure class members receive the correct amount in their settlement disbursement. Today's digital capabilities allow even greater convenience for class members. In certain instances, claimants can now elect to

instantaneously receive settlement payments through popular digital-payment options, such as PayPal, Amazon, and virtual debit cards.

A.B. Data's Leadership



A.B. Data's administration team is composed of the following key executives, who collectively have decades of experience settling and administering class actions:

Bruce A. Arbit, Co-Managing Director and one of the founders of the A.B. Data Group, serves as Chairman of the Board and oversees the day-to-day operations of the A.B. Data Group of companies, employing almost 400 people in the United States and Israel. Mr. Arbit is also Chairman of the Board of Integrated Mail Industries, Ltd. and has served as a member of the Board of Directors of University National Bank and State Financial Bank. He is the past Chairman of Asset Development Group, Inc., Home Source One, and American Deposit Management and is a member of the National Direct Marketing Association, the Direct Marketing Fundraising Association, and the American Association of Political Consultants. He was named 1996 Direct Marketer of the Year by the Wisconsin Direct Marketing Association.

A.B. Data's work in class action litigation support began with the Court selecting A.B. Data to oversee the restitution effort in the now-famous Swiss Banks Class Action Case, the International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, and every other Holocaust Era Asset Restitution program, in which it was the company's job to identify, contact, and inform survivors of the Holocaust. A.B. Data delivered by reaching out to millions of people in 109 countries who spoke more than 30 languages. Since those days, Mr. Arbit has guided the class action division through phenomenal growth and success. Today, A.B. Data manages hundreds of administrations annually that distributes billions of dollars to class members.

Eric Miller, Senior Vice President, as a key member of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Leadership Team, oversees the Case Management Department and supervises the operations and procedures of all of A.B. Data's class action administration cases. Mr. Miller is recognized in the class action administration industry as an expert on securities, SEC, consumer, product recall, product liability, general antitrust, pharmaceutical antitrust, and futures contract settlements, to name a few settlement types. Prior to joining A.B. Data, Mr. Miller served as the Client Service Director for Rust Consulting, responsible there for its securities practice area. He has more than 20 years of operations, project management, quality assurance, and training experience in the class action administration industry. In addition, Mr. Miller manages A.B. Data's office in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida.

Justin Parks, Senior Vice President, is a member of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Leadership Team. Mr. Parks brings extensive experience in client relations to A.B. Data's business development team. Mr. Parks has over 15 years of experience in the legal settlement administration services industry and has successfully managed and consulted on notice plans and other administrative aspects in hundreds of cases. Mr. Parks is uniquely experienced in Data Privacy matters, having consulted with clients on numerous matters stemming from data breaches as well as violations of the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act (BIPA), including some of the first ever Biometric Privacy related settlements in history. Mr. Parks' knowledge and understanding of the class action industry, as well as his client relationship skills, expand A.B. Data's capacity to achieve its business development and marketing goals effectively.

Elaine Pang, Vice President, Media, oversees the Media Department and is responsible for the direction, development, and implementation of media notice plans for A.B. Data's clients. Ms. Pang brings more than 15 years of experience in developing and implementing multifaceted digital and traditional media for high profile complex legal notice programs. She uses her experience in class actions and advertising to provide the best practicable notice plans for large scale campaigns across domestic and international regions, and she leverages her expertise to better understand the evolving media landscape and utilize cutting-edge technology and measurement tools. Prior to entering the class action industry, Ms. Pang worked with many leading reputable brands, including General Mills, Air Wick, Jet-Dry, Comedy Central, Madison Square Garden, Radio City Music Hall, and Geox. She earned her MBA from Strayer University and holds a BS in Marketing from Pennsylvania State University. Ms. Pang's credentials include Hootsuite Social Marketing Certification, Google Adwords and Analytics Certification, and IAB Digital Media Buying and Planning Certification.

Paul Sauberer, Vice President of Quality, is responsible for overseeing quality assurance and process management, working diligently to mitigate risk, ensure exceptional quality control, and develop seamless calculation programming. Mr. Sauberer brings more than 20 years of experience as a quality assurance specialist with a leading claims-processing company where he developed extensive knowledge in securities class action administration. He is recognized as the class action administration industry's leading expert on claims and settlement administrations of futures contracts class actions.

Steve Straub, Vice President, Operations, started with A.B. Data in 2012 as a Claims Administrator. He moved through the ranks within the company where he spent the past five years as Senior Project Manager managing many of the complex commodities cases such as *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation*, *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, and *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.* Mr. Straub's performance in these roles over the past ten years, along with his comprehensive knowledge of company and industry practices and first-person experience leading the project management team, has proven him an invaluable member of the A.B. Data team.

In his role as Vice President of Operations, his responsibilities include developing efficiencies within the operations center, which includes mailroom, call center, and claims processing areas. His areas of expertise include business process development, strategic/tactical operations planning and implementation, risk analysis, budgeting, business expansion, growth planning and implementation, cost reduction, and profit, change, and project management. Mr. Straub is well-versed in the administration of securities, consumer, and antitrust class action settlements. He earned his Juris Doctor degree from Seton Hall University School of Law in Newark, New Jersey.

Jack Ewashko, Director of Client Services, brings twenty years of industry and brokerage experience to his role with A.B. Data. He is an accomplished client manager adept at facilitating proactive communications between internal and outside parties to ensure accurate and timely deliverables. Mr. Ewashko previously held positions at two claim administration firms where he oversaw the securities administration teams and actively managed numerous high-profile matters, including the \$2.3 billion foreign exchange litigation. He notably served as Vice President, FX and Futures Operations at Millennium Management, a prominent global alternative investment management firm. As he progressed through trading, analytic, management, and consultancy roles at major banks and brokerage firms, Mr. Ewashko gained hands-on experience with vanilla and exotic securities products, including FX, commodities, mutual funds, derivatives, OTC, futures, options, credit, debt, and equities products. In the financial sector, he also worked closely with compliance and legal teams to ensure accuracy and conformity with all relevant rules and regulations regarding the marketing and sale of products, as well as the execution and processing of trades. He has held Series 4, Series 6, Series 7, and Series 63 licenses, and has been a member of the Futures Industry Association

(FIA) and Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Mr. Ewashko earned his Bachelor of Business Administration from Long Island University, Brooklyn, New York.

Brian Devery, Director of Client Services, brings more than a decade of experience in class action administration and project management, as well as over two decades of experience as an attorney (ret.). Mr. Devery currently focuses on consumer, antitrust, employment, and other non-securities based administrations. In addition to driving project administration, he is focused on the implementation of process improvement, streamlining, and automation. Mr. Devery is admitted to practice law in State and Federal Courts of New York with his Juris Doctorate earned from the Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York.

Adam Walter, PMP, Director of Client Services, has nearly fifteen years of experience managing the administration of securities class action settlements and SEC disgorgements totaling more than \$4 billion. He has managed settlement programs in engagements involving some of the largest securities class action settlements and is a key contributor to the development of administration strategies that meet the evolving needs of our clients. His responsibilities include developing case administration strategies to ensure that all client and court requirements and objectives are met, overseeing daily operations of case administrations, ensuring execution of client deliverables, providing case-related legal and administration support to class counsel, overseeing notice dissemination programs, implementing complex claims-processing and allocation methodologies, establishing quality assurance and quality control procedures, and managing distribution of settlement funds. Mr. Walter holds a bachelor's degree in business administration from Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida. He also has been an active member of the Project Management Institute since 2010 and is PMP®-certified.

Eric Nordskog, Director of Client Services, started with A.B. Data in 2012 on the operations team, managing dozens of team leads and claims administrators in the administration of legal cases and actions. In 2017, Mr. Nordskog was promoted to Project Manager, due in part to his proven ability to add consistency and efficiency to the e-claim filing process with new streamlined processes and audit practices. Today, as Senior Project Manager, he directs many of A.B. Data's securities, insurance, and consumer cases. He regularly oversees the administration of large insurance cases, such as two recent Cigna Insurance matters that involved complex calculations and over one million class members each. He is also the primary hiring and training manager for new project managers and coordinators. Mr. Nordskog earned his Juris Doctor degree from Marquette University Law School, Milwaukee, in 2001.

Eric Schultz, MCSE, Information Technology Manager and Security Team Chairperson, has been with A.B. Data for more than 19 years, and is currently responsible for overseeing all information technology areas for all A.B. Data divisions across the United States and abroad, including network infrastructure and architecture, IT operations, data security, disaster recovery, and all physical, logical, data, and information systems security reviews and audits required by our clients or otherwise. As a Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer (MCSE) with more than 25 years of experience in information technology systems and solutions, Mr. Schultz has developed specializations in network security, infrastructure, design/architecture, telephony, and high-availability network systems.

Secure Environment



A.B. Data's facilities provide the highest level of security and customization of security procedures, including:

- A Secure Sockets Layer server
- Video monitoring
- Limited physical access to production facilities
- Lockdown mode when checks are printed
- Background checks of key employees completed prior to hire
- Frequency of police patrol – every two hours, with response time of five or fewer minutes
- Disaster recovery plan available upon request

Data Security



A.B. Data is committed to protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of personal identifying information and other information it collects from our clients, investors, and class members and requires that its employees, subcontractors, consultants, service providers, and other persons and entities it retains to assist in distributions do the same. A.B. Data has developed an Information Security Policy, a suite of policies and procedures intended to cover all information security issues and bases for A.B. Data, and all of its divisions, departments, employees, vendors, and clients. A.B. Data has also recently taken the necessary, affirmative steps toward compliance with the EU's General Data Protection Regulation and the California Consumer Privacy Act.

A.B. Data has a number of high-profile clients, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the United States Department of Justice, the Attorneys General of nearly all 50 states, other agencies of the United States government, and the Government of Israel, as well as direct banking and payment services companies with some of the most recognized brands in United States financial services and some of the largest credit card issuers in the world.

We are therefore frequently subjected to physical, logical, data, and information systems security reviews and audits. We have been compliant with our clients' security standards and have also been determined to be compliant with ISO/IEC 27001/2 and Payment Card Industry (PCI) data-security standards, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB) of 1999, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Regulations, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH).

The Government of Israel has determined that A.B. Data is compliant with its rigorous security standards in connection with its work on Project HEART (Holocaust Era Asset Restitution Taskforce).

A.B. Data's fund distribution team has been audited by EisnerAmper LLP and was found compliant with class action industry standards and within 99% accuracy. EisnerAmper LLP is a full-service advisory and accounting firm and is ranked the 15th-largest accounting firm in the United States.

In addition, as part of PCI compliance requirements, A.B. Data has multiple network scans and audits from third-party companies, such as SecurityMetrics and 403 Labs, and is determined to be compliant with each of them.

Fraud Prevention and Detection



A.B. Data is at the forefront of class action fraud prevention.

A.B. Data maintains and utilizes comprehensive proprietary databases and procedures to detect fraud and prevent payment of allegedly fraudulent claims.

We review and analyze various filing patterns across all existing cases and claims. Potential fraudulent filers are reported to our clients as well as to the appropriate governmental agencies where applicable.

Representative Class Action Engagements



A.B. Data and/or its team members have successfully administered hundreds of class actions, including many major cases. Listed below are just some of the most representative or recent engagements.

Consumer & Antitrust Cases

- *In re EpiPen Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Commercial (Indirect)*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Indirect*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Direct*
- *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation - Directs*
- *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation - Indirects*
- *Peter Staley, et al. v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., et al.*
- *In re: Opana ER Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Ranbaxy Generic Drug Application Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Valeant Pharmaceuticals Int'l, Inc. Third-Party Payor Litigation*
- *Staley, et al., v. Gilead Sciences*
- *In Re: Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation - Direct Purchasers*
- *Beef Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*
- *BCBSM, Inc. v. Vyera Pharmaceuticals, et al. (Daraprim)*
- *In re Automobile Antitrust Cases I and II*
- *Olean Wholesale Grocery Cooperative, Inc., et al. v. Agri Stats, Inc., et al. (Turkey)*
- *Integrated Orthopedics, Inc., et al. v. UnitedHealth Group, et al.*
- *In Re: Restasis (Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litigation*
- *Vista Healthplan, Inc., et al. v. Cephalon, Inc., et al. (Provigil)*
- *Jeffrey Koenig, et al. v. Vizio, Inc.*

- *Wit, et al. v. United Behavioral Health*
- *Weiss, et al. v. SunPower Corporation*
- *Smith, et al. v. FirstEnergy Corp., et al.*
- *Resendez, et al. v. Precision Castparts Corp. and PCC Structural, Inc.*
- *Julian, et al. v. TTE Technology, Inc., dba TCL North America*
- *Eugenio and Rosa Contreras v. Nationstar Mortgage LLC*
- *Phil Shin, et al. v. Plantronics, Inc.*
- *In re: Qualcomm Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Resistors Antitrust Litigation*
- *The Hospital Authority of Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee v. Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Sandoz Inc. ("Lovenox Antitrust Matter")*
- *William Kivett, et al. v. Flagstar Bank, FSB, and DOES 1-100, inclusive*
- *Adelphia, Inc. v. Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.*
- *LLE One, LLC, et al. v. Facebook, Inc.*
- *Bach Enterprises, Inc., et al. v. Advanced Disposal Services South, Inc., et al.*
- *JWG Inc., et al. v. Advanced Disposal Services Jacksonville, L.L.C., et al.*
- *State of Washington v. Motel 6 Operating L.P. and G6 Hospitality LLC*
- *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litigation*
- *Wave Lengths Hair Salons of Florida, Inc., et al. v. CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Loestrin 24 FE Antitrust Litigation*
- *Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs, State of Florida v. Pultegroup, Inc. and Pulte Home Company, LLC*
- *In re Cigna-American Specialties Health Administration Fee Litigation*
- *In re: Intuniv Antitrust Litigation*
- *High Street, et al. v. Cigna Corporation, et al.*
- *Gordon Fair, et al. v. The Archdiocese of San Francisco, San Mateo, and Marin County*
- *Bizzarro, et al. v. Ocean County Department of Corrections, et al.*
- *Meeker, et al. v. Bullseye Glass Co.*
- *MSPA Claims 1, LLC v. Ocean Harbor Casualty Insurance Company*
- *Tennille v. Western Union Company - Arizona*
- *Garner, et al. v. Atherotech Holdings, Inc. and Garner, et al. v. Behrman Brothers IV, LLC, et al.*
- *Robinson, et al. v. Escallate, LLC*
- *Josefina Valle and Wilfredo Valle, et al. v. Popular Community Bank f/k/a Banco Popular North America*
- *Vision Construction Ent., Inc. v. Waste Pro USA, Inc. and Waste Pro USA, Inc. and Waste Pro of Florida, Inc.*
- *Plumley v. Erickson Retirement Communities, et al.*
- *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*
- *Ploss v. Kraft Foods Group, Inc. and Mondelēz Global LLC*
- *In re Mexican Government Bonds Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Ready-Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re: Marine Hose Antitrust Litigation*
- *Iowa Ready Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Potash Antitrust Litigation (II)*
- *In re Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corp. Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Lorazepam and Clorazepate Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation*

- *Vista Healthplan, Inc., and Ramona Sakiestewa v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., and American BioScience, Inc.*
- *In re Lupron Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*
- *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Warfarin Sodium Antitrust Litigation*
- *Rosemarie Ryan House, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC and SmithKline Beecham Corporation*
- *Carpenters and Joiners Welfare Fund, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham*
- *New Mexico United Food and Commercial Workers Union's and Employers' Health and Welfare Trust Fund, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.*
- *In Re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation*
- *Alma Simonet, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline*
- *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation*
- *In Re Remeron Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re TriCor Indirect Purchasers Antitrust Litigation*
- *Nichols, et al., v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation*
- *In re: DDAVP Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*

Securities Cases

- *Plymouth County Retirement Association v. Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Tung, et al. v. Dycor Industries, Inc., et al.*
- *Boutchard, et al. v. Gandhi, et al. ("Tower/e-Minis")*
- *MAZ Partners LP v. First Choice Healthcare Solutions, Inc.*
- *SEB Investment Management AB, et al. v. Symantec Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Impinj, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Netshoes Securities Litigation*
- *Yellowdog Partners, LP, et al. v. Curo Group Holdings Corp., et al.*
- *In re Brightview Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Obalon Therapeutics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Willis Towers Watson PLC Proxy Litigation*
- *In re Blue Apron Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Qudian Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Plymouth County Contributory Retirement System v. Adamas Pharmaceuticals, et al.*
- *In re Perrigo Company PLC Securities Litigation*
- *Enriquez, et al. v. Nabriva Therapeutics PLC, et al.*
- *Teamsters Local 456 Pension Fund, et al. v. Universal Health Services, Inc., et al.*
- *Olenik, et al. v. Earthstone Energy, Inc.*
- *Shenk v. Mallinckrodt plc, et al.*
- *In re The Allstate Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *Christopher Vataj v. William D. Johnson, et al. (PG&E Securities II)*
- *Kirkland v. WideOpenWest, Inc.*
- *Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System v. Sterling Bancorp, Inc.*
- *In re Uxin Limited Securities Litigation*
- *City of Hallandale Beach Police Officers' & Firefighters' Personnel Retirement Trust v. Ergen, et al. (Echostar)*
- *Lewis v. YRC Worldwide Inc., et al.*
- *Tomaszewski v. Trevena, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Public Employees' Retirement Systems of Mississippi, et al. v. Treehouse Foods, Inc., et al.*
- *Ronald L. Jackson v. Microchip Technology, Inc., et al.*

- *In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation*
- *In re Dynagas LNG Partners LP Securities Litigation*
- *Weiss, et al. v. Burke, et al. (Nutraceutical)*
- *Yaron v. Intersect ENT, Inc., et al.*
- *Utah Retirement Systems v. Healthcare Services Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re PPDAL Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Evoqua Water Technologies Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Aqua Metals, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund v. Southwestern Energy Company*
- *In re CPI Card Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, et al. v. Alon USA Energy, Inc., et al.*
- *In re TAL Education Group Securities Litigation*
- *GCI Liberty Stockholder Litigation*
- *In re SciPlay Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *In re Allergan Generic Drug Pricing Securities Litigation*
- *In re Vivint Solar, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re YayYo Securities Litigation*
- *In re JPMorgan Treasury Futures Spoofing Litigation*
- *Searles, et al. v. Crestview Partners, LP, et al. (Capital Bank)*
- *In re Lyft, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Aegean Marine Petroleum Network, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re JPMorgan Precious Metals Spoofing Litigation*
- *In re Pivotal Software, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Longo, et al. v. OSI Systems, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Homefed Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc., et al.*
- *Pope v. Navient Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Merit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Frontier Communications Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Holwill v. AbbVie Inc.*
- *Budicak, Inc., et al. v. Lansing Trade Group, LLC, et al. (SRW Wheat Futures)*
- *Yannes, et al. v. SCWorx Corporation*
- *In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations*
- *In re Myriad Genetics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Chicago Bridge & Iron Co. N.V. Securities Litigation*
- *The Arbitrage Fund, et al. v. William Petty, et al. (Exactech)*
- *In re Columbia Pipeline Group, Inc. Merger Litigation*
- *Martinek v. AmTrust Financial Services, Inc.*
- *City of Pittsburgh Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund, et al. v. Benefitfocus, Inc., et al.*
- *In re: Evoqua Water Technologies Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.*
- *Lomingkit, et al. v. Apollo Education Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. Shareholder Litigation*
- *Norfolk County Retirement System, et al. v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al.*
- *Chester County Employees' Retirement Fund v. KCG Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Oklahoma Law Enforcement Retirement System, et al. v. Adeptus Health Inc., et al.*
- *Di Donato v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc., et al.*
- *Lundgren-Wiedinmyer, et al. v. LJM Partners, Ltd, et al.*
- *Martin, et al. v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al.*
- *Stephen Appel, et al. v. Apollo Management, et al.*

- *In re Medley Capital Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Forman, et al. v. Meridian BioScience, Inc., et al.*
- *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, et al. v. Endo International PLC, et al.*
- *In Re Flowers Foods, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Jiangchen, et al. v. Rentech, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Liberty Tax, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*
- *In re RH, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Lazan v. Quantum Corporation, et al.*
- *Nabhan v. Quantum Corporation, et al.*
- *Edmund Murphy III, et al. v. JBS S.A.*
- *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, et al. v. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Starz Stockholder Litigation*
- *Judith Godinez, et al. v. Alere Inc., et al.*
- *Rahman and Giovagnoli, et al. v. GlobalSCAPE, Inc., et al.*
- *Arthur Kaye, et al. v. ImmunoCellular Therapeutics, Ltd., et al.*
- *In re CPI Card Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Daniel Aude, et al. v. Kobe Steel, Ltd., et al.*
- *In re Quality Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Cooper, et al. v. Thoratec Corporation, et al.*
- *Washtenaw County Employees' Retirement System, et al. v. Walgreen Co., et al.*
- *Elkin v. Walter Investment Management Corp., et al.*
- *In Re CytRx Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *Ranjit Singh, et al. v. 21Vianet Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re PTC Therapeutics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mark A. Jones*
- *In re Sequans Communications S.A. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Henry Schein, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Ronge, et al. v. Camping World Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Oklahoma Firefighters Pension & Retirement System v. Lexmark International, Inc.*
- *Christakis Vrakas, et al. v. United States Steel Corporation, et al.*
- *Emerson et al. v. Mutual Fund Series Trust, et al. ("Catalyst")*
- *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation*
- *In re Anadarko Petroleum Corporation Class Action Litigation*
- *Ge Dandong, et al., v. Pinnacle Performance Limited, et al.*
- *In Re: Rough Rice Commodity Litigation*
- *Xuechen Yang v. Focus Media Holding Limited et al.*
- *In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Swisher Hygiene, Inc.*
- *The City of Providence vs. Aeropostale, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Metrologic Instruments, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*
- *Public Pension Fund Group v. KV Pharmaceutical Company et al.*
- *Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, et al. v. Assisted Living Concepts, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation (Platinum/Palladium Physical Action)*
- *In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation (Platinum/Palladium Futures Action)*
- *In re General Electric Co. Securities Litigation*
- *In re CNX Gas Corporation Shareholders Litigation*
- *Oscar S. Wyatt, Jr. et al. v. El Paso Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Par Pharmaceutical Securities Litigation*
- *In re Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*

- *In re Delphi Financial Group Shareholders Litigation*
- *In re SLM Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *In re Del Monte Foods Company Shareholder Litigation*
- *Leslie Niederklein v. PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. and Anthony A. Maher*
- *In re Beckman Coulter, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Michael Rubin v. MF Global, Ltd., et al.*
- *Allen Zametkin v. Fidelity Management & Research Company, et al.*
- *In re BP Prudhoe Bay Royalty Trust Securities Litigation*
- *Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit et al. v. SafeNet, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Limelight Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Gilead Sciences Securities Litigation*
- *In re ACS Shareholder Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 4940-VCP*
- *Lance Provo v. China Organic Agriculture, Inc., et al.*
- *In re LDK Solar Securities Litigation*

Labor & Employment Cases

- *Verizon OFCCP Settlement*
- *Alvarez, et al. v. GEO Secure Services, LLC*
- *Sartena v. Meltwater FLSA*
- *Carmen Alvarez, et al. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., et al.*
- *Turner, et al. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.*
- *Long, et al. v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority*
- *Matheson, et al. v. TD Bank, N.A.*
- *Ludwig, et al. v. General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc., et al.*
- *Bedel, et al. v. Liberty Mutual Group Inc.*
- *Irene Parry, et al. v. Farmers Insurance Exchange, et al.*
- *Maldonado v. The GEO Group, Inc.*
- *Alderman and Maxey v. ADT, LLC*
- *Albaceet v. Dick's Sporting Goods*
- *Rodriguez v. The Procter & Gamble Company*
- *Adekunle, et al. v. Big Bang Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a The Revenue Optimization Companies*
- *Gorski, et al. v. Wireless Vision, LLC*
- *Lopez, et al. v. New York Community Bank, et al.*
- *Hamilton, et al. v. The Vail Corporation, et al.*
- *Eisenman v. The Ayco Company L.P.*
- *Matheson v. TD Bank, N.A.*
- *Simon v. R.W. Express LLC, d/b/a Go Airlink NYC*
- *Perez v. Mexican Hospitality Operator LLC, d/b/a Cosme*
- *Shanahan v. KeyBank, N.A.*
- *Loftin v. SunTrust Bank*
- *Alvarez v. GEO Secure Services, LLC*
- *Weisgarber v. North American Dental Group, LLC*
- *Talisa Borders, et al. v. Wal-mart Stores, Inc.*
- *Reale v. McClain Sonics Inc., et al.*
- *Larita Finisterre and Songhai Woodard, et al. v. Global Contact Services, LLC*
- *Adebisi Bello v. The Parc at Joliet*
- *Garcia, et al. v. Vertical Screen, Inc.*
- *Brook Lemma and Matthieu Hubert, et al. v. 103W77 Partners LLC, et al. ("Dovetail Settlement")*

- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 1145 v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia*
- *Lisa Ferguson, Octavia Brown, et al. v. Matthew G. Whitaker, Acting AG, DOJ Bureau of Prisons ("USP Victorville")*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 2001 v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, Federal Correctional Institution, Fort Dix, New Jersey*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 506 v. U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Penitentiary Coleman II, Coleman, Florida*
- *Vargas v. Sterling Engineering*
- *Rosenbohm v. Verizon*
- *Alex Morgan, et al. v. United States Soccer Federation, Inc.*
- *Iskander Rasulev v. Good Care Agency, Inc.*
- *Kyndl Buzas, et al., v. Phillips 66 Company and DOES 1 through 10*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 408 v. U.S. Dept. of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, Federal Correctional Complex, Butner, NC*
- *In re 2014 Avon Products, Inc. ERISA Litigation*
- *In re Eastman Kodak ERISA Litigation*
- *Taronica White, et al. v. Attorney General Loretta Lynch, Department of Justice*
- *Lisa Ferguson, et al. v. Acting Attorney General Matthew Whitaker, Department of Justice*
- *Melissa Compere v. Nusret Miami, LLC, et al.*
- *Abelar v. American Residential Services, L.L.C., Central District of California*
- *Flores, et al. v. Eagle Diner Corp., et al., Eastern District of Pennsylvania*
- *Michael Furman v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc., 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County, Florida*
- *Finisterre et. al v. Global Contact Services, LLC, New York State Supreme Court, Kings County*
- *McGuire v. Intelident Solutions, LLC, et al., Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division*
- *Duran De Rodriguez, et al. v. Five Star Home Health Care Agency, Inc. et al., Eastern District of New York*

Data Breach/BIPA Cases

- *Hunter v. J.S.T. Corp. BIPA Settlement*
- *Atkinson, et al. v. Minted, Inc.*
- *Rosenbach, et al. v. Six Flags Entertainment Corporation and Great America LLC*
- *Pratz, et al. v. MOD Super Fast Pizza, LLC*
- *The State of Indiana v. Equifax Data Breach Settlement*
- *In re: Vizio, Inc. Consumer Privacy Litigation*
- *In re: Google, Inc. Street View Electronic Communications Litigation*
- *Devin Briggs and Bobby Watson, et al. v. Rhinoag, Inc. ("Briggs Biometric Settlement")*
- *Trost v. Pretium Packaging L.L.C.*
- *In re: Barr, et al. v. Drizly, LLC f/k/a Drizly, Inc., et al.*

Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) Cases

- *Perrong, et al. v. Orbit Energy & Power, LLC*
- *Baldwin, et al. v. Miracle-Ear, Inc.*
- *Floyd and Fabricant, et al. v. First Data Merchant Services LLC, et al.*
- *Hoffman, et al. v. Hearing Help Express, Inc., et al.*
- *Lowe and Kaiser, et al. v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et al.*
- *Johansen v. HomeAdvisor, Inc., et al.*
- *Charvat, et al. v. National Holdings Corporation*
- *Hopkins, et al. v. Modernize, Inc.*

- *Diana Mey vs. Frontier Communications Corporation*
- *Matthew Donaca v. Dish Network, L.L.C.*
- *Matthew Benzion and Theodore Glaser v. Vivint, Inc.*
- *John Lofton v. Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC, et al.*
- *Lori Shamblin v. Obama for America, et al.*
- *Ellman v. Security Networks*

For More Information

For more detailed information regarding A.B. Data's experience, services, or personnel, please see our website at www.abdataclassaction.com.

EXHIBIT C


BANNER AD

The banner features a dark background with a faint image of a wheat field. Overlaid on this is a financial candlestick chart with a blue line graph showing an upward trend. The text is in white and green. A red button with white text is positioned in the lower left. The logo 'wheat' is in green with a blue triangle icon. The website URL is at the bottom in white.

**If you purchased
SRW Wheat
Futures or Options
On the Chicago Board of Trade**

**YOUR RIGHTS MAY
BE AFFECTED BY A
CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT**

LEARN MORE

 **wheat**

2017CBOTWheatFuturesClassAction.com

EXHIBIT D

EXCLUSION REPORT

Name	Date
1. COFCO International US LLC	January 27, 2026
2. COFCO Resources S.A.	January 27, 2026
3. COFCO Resources Pte Ltd.	January 27, 2026